# **APPENDIX A:**

# SAMPLE DATA FORMS FOR THE PROTOCOLS

### **APPENDIX A-1:**

# **Habitat Assessment and Physicochemical Characterization Field Data Sheets**

Form 1: Physical Characterization/Water Quality Field Data Sheet

Form 2: Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheet - High Gradient Streams

Form 3: Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheet - Low Gradient Streams

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME		LOCATION		
STATION # R	IVERMILE	STREAM CLA	SS	
LAT LO	ONG	RIVER BASIN		
STORET#		AGENCY		
INVESTIGATORS				
FORM COMPLETED BY		DATE TIME	AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY
WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now		Past 24 hours	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☐ Yes ☐ No
CONDITIONS	□ storm	(heavy rain)		Air Temperature0 C
	□ showers	steady rain) (intermittent)	ō	Other
		loud cover ear/sunny	□% □	Outr
SITE LOCATION/MAP		-	o anoac campl	led (or attach a photograph)
SITE LOCATION/MAP	Draw a map of the sit	e and mulcate th	e ai eas sampi	eu (or attach a photograph)
STREAM CHARACTERIZATION	Stream Subsystem  Perennial Inte	ermittent 🖵 Tida	al.	Stream Type ☐ Coldwater ☐ Warmwater
CHIMICIEMEATION	Stream Origin	annuem = Hua		Catchment Area km <sup>2</sup>
	☐ Glacial ☐ Non-glacial montane ☐ Swamp and bog	□ Spring-fed □ Mixture o □ Other	d f origins	Catchinetit AleaKiii

# PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERS FEATURI		□ Fores	Pasture Industria	rcial	Local Watershed NPS    No evidence Some Obvious sources  Local Watershed Erosi None Moderate	e potential sources			
RIPARIA VEGETA (18 meter	TION		e the dominant type and Sh nt species present			rbaceous			
INSTREA FEATURI		Estimat Estimat Samplin Area in Estimat	ed Reach Length ed Stream Width ng Reach Area km² (m²x1000) ed Stream Depth Velocitym	m m² km²	Canopy Cover Partly open Partly High Water Mark Proportion of Reach Romorphology Types Riffle % Pool % Channelized Yes Dam Present Yes	epresented by Stream Run%			
LARGE V DEBRIS	VOODY		m² of LWDm	2/km² ( <b>LWD/</b>	reach area)				
AQUATIO VEGETA		☐ Roote ☐ Floati domina		ooted submerge tached Algae	nt 🚨 Rootêd floating	☐ Free floating			
WATER (	QUALITY	Specific Dissolve pH Turbidi	cature0 C  Conductance ed Oxygen  ty ctrument Used		Water Odors  Normal/None Sewa  Petroleum  Fishy  Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen None Other  Turbidity (if not measu Clear Slightly tur Opaque Stained	Chemical Other			
SEDIMEN SUBSTRA		Other Oils	al Sewage ical Anaerobic  The Slight Moderate		Looking at stones which are the undersides blace	☐ Sludge ☐ Sawdust ☐ Paper fiber ☐ Sand ☐ Relict shells ☐ Other ☐ Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?			
INC		STRATE dd up to 1	COMPONENTS		ORGANIC SUBSTRATE C (does not necessarily add				
Substrate Type	Diamete	er	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area			
Bedrock Boulder	> 256 mm (10")	ı		Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)				
Cobble Gravel	64-256 mm (2.5 2-64 mm (0.1"-2			Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)				
Sand Silt Clay	0.06-2mm (gritt 0.004-0.06 mm < 0.004 mm (sli	ty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments				

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION					
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET#	AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS						
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY				

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
n sampling reach	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.				
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).				
ıram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	ı Category					
	Parameter Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
ding reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.				
samp	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.				
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.				
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				

	a	
Total	Score	

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME	LOCATION					
STATION # RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET#	AGENCY					
INVESTIGATORS						
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY				

	_ Habitat		Condition	Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	30-50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10-30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.				
each.	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Pool Substrate Characterization	Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.	Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.	All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.	Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.				
uate	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
rs to be eval	3. Pool Variability	Even mix of large- shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.	Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.	Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.	Majority of pools small- shallow or pools absent.				
mete	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Para	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than <20% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 20-50% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 50-80% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 80% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				

### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—LOW GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Condition Category									
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor								
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.								
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0								
npling reach	7. Channel Sinuosity	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note - channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas.)	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	The bends in the stream increase the stream length 1 to 2 times longer than if it was in a straight line.	Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.								
ı san	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0								
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.								
eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)  Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.								
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12- 18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.								
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								
1	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0								

Total Score \_\_\_\_\_

### **APPENDIX A-2:**

### **Periphyton Field and Laboratory Data Sheets**

- Form 1: Periphyton Field Data Sheet
- Form 2: Periphyton Sample Log-In Sheet
- Form 3: Periphyton Soft Algae Laboratory Bench Sheet (front and back)
- Form 4: Periphyton Diatom Laboratory Bench Sheet (front and back)
- Form 5: Rapid Periphyton Survey Field Sheet

### PERIPHYTON FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION	
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#		AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS			LOT NUMBER
FORM COMPLETED	ВУ	DATE AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY
HABITAT TYPES		each habitat type present% □ Gravel-Cobble%% □ Large Woody Debris □Run%	
SAMPLE COLLECTION	How were the samples coll  If natural habitat collectio □ Sand-Silt-Mud-Muck		Bedrock%
GENERAL COMMENTS			
QUALITATIVE I	ISTING OF AQUATIC	<sup>P</sup> BIOTA	

Indicate estimated abundance:  $0 = Absent/Not \ Observed, \ 1 = Rare \ (<5\%), \ 2 = Common \ (5\% - 30\%), \ 3 = Abundant \ (30\% - 70\%), \ 4 = Dominant \ (>70\%)$ 

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2.	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

ge or	tion	identification									
page	Date of Completion	mounting									
	Q	sorting									
	Lot Number										
N SHEET		by Lab									
PERIPHYTON SAMPLE LOG-IN SHEET											
PER	Station #										
	Preservation										
	Number of	Containers									
	Collected	Бу									
	Date	Collected									

Serial Code Example: P0754001(1)P = Periphyton (B = Benthos, F = Fish)# 0754 = project number # 001 = sample number # (1) = lot number (e.g., winter 1996 = 1; summer 1996 = 2)

### PERIPHYTON SOFT ALGAE LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (FRONT)

page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

STREAM NAME		LOCATION					
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS					
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN					
STORET #	LOT#	AGENCY					
COLLECTORS INITIALS	DATE	TAXONOMISTS INITIALS DATE					
SUBSAMPLE TARGET FOR S	OFT ALGAE □ 300	□ 400 □ 500 □ Other					

TAXA NAME	TALLY	CODE	# OF CELLS	TCR

Taxonomic certainty ratings (TCR) can be determined for each taxa or for the laboratory as a whole. The TCR scale is 1-5, with: 1 = most certain and 5 = least certain. If rating is 3-5, give reason. The number of cells for filamentous algae is an estimate of relative biomass.

Total No. Algal cells

Total No. Taxa

### PERIPHYTON SOFT ALGAE LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (BACK)

STREAM IDENTIFICATION CODE	DATE COUNTED
COUNTED TRANSECT LENGTH	COUNTED TRANSECT WIDTH
SIZE OF COVERGLASS	TOTAL SAMPLE VOLUME
VOLUME OF SAMPLE ON COVERGLASS	SAMPLE DILUTION FACTOR
PROPORTION OF SAMPLE COUNTED	AREA OF SUBSTRATE SAMPLED
TOTAL NUMBER OF CELLS COUNTED	TOTAL ASSEMBLAGE CELL DENSITY

TAXONOMY	Explair	n TCR ratings	of 3-5:						
Date	Other Comments (e.g. condition of algae):								
	QC:	☐ YES	□ NO		QC Checker				
	Algal r Verific	ecognition ation complete	□ pass	□ fail □ NO					

### PERIPHYTON DIATOM LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (FRONT)

page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

STREAM NAME		LOCATION						
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS						
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN						
STORET #	LOT#	AGENCY						
COLLECTORS INITIALS	DATE	TAXONOMISTS INITIALS DATE						
SUBSAMPLE TARGET FOR I	DIATOM □ 300 □ 400	□ 600 □ Other						

TAXA NAME	TALLY (# of valves)	CODE	# OF CELLS	TCR

	tal No. Algal cells	Total No. Taxa
certain and $5 = \text{least certain}$ . If rating is 3-5, give reason.	The number of cells for filamentous algae is	an estimate of relative biomass
Taxonomic certainty ratings (TCR) can be determined for	r each taxa or for the laboratory as a whole.	The TCR scale is 1-5, with: $I = most$

### PERIPHYTON DIATOM LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (BACK)

TAXONOMY	Explain TCR ratings of 3	Explain TCR ratings of 3-5:										
ID												
Date	Other Comments (e.g. con	Other Comments (e.g. condition of algae):										
	OC. DVE	ПМО	OC Charles									
	QC: □ YES	□NO	QC Checker									
	Algal recognition Verification complete	□ pass □ YES	□ fail □ NO									
General Comments (use	this space to add additional	comments):										

# RAPID PERIPHYTON SURVEY FIELD SHEET

STREAM NAME		LOCATION	
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT	TONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	TOT#	AGENCY	
COLLECTORS INITIALS	DATE	TAXONOMISTS INITIALS	DATE

ASSESSED BY
GRID AREA
ID MACROALGA #1
ID MACROALGA #2
ID MICROALGA #1
ID MICROALGA #2

	5						
	4						
A #2 ED BY SANK	3						
OALG OVER NESS I	2						
MICROALGA #2 DOTS COVERED BY THICKNESS RANK	1						
Ŏ L	0.5						
•	0						
	5						
·	4						
A #1 ED BY SANK	3						
MICROALGA #1 DOTS COVERED BY THICKNESS RANK	2						
MICRO DTS CO HICKD	1						
	0.5						
•	0						
# DOTS MICROALGA	SUBSINALE						
MACROALGA #2 DOTS	COVERED						
MACROALGA #1 DOTS	COVENED						
# DOTS IN GRID AREA							
TRANSECT/ VIEW#							TOTAL # DOTS AT SITE

General Comments:

### **APPENDIX A-3:**

### Benthic Macroinvertebrate Field and Laboratory Data Sheets

Form 1: Benthic Macroinvertebrate Field Data Sheet

Form 2: Benthic Macroinvertebrate Sample Log-In Sheet

Form 3: Benthic Macroinvertebrate Laboratory Bench Sheet

Form 4: Preliminary Assessment Score Sheet (Pass)

### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

		_	_					_	_	_				_	_	_	
STREAM NAME						LOCATION	N										
STATION #	R	IVE	RM	ILE_		STREAM C	_ STREAM CLASS										
LAT	_ L(	ONC	3			RIVER BA	RIVER BASIN										
STORET#						AGENCY	AGENCY										
INVESTIGATORS							LOT NUMBER										
FORM COMPLETED BY						DATE TIME	DATE AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY										
HABITAT TYPES		Cob	ble_		%	tage of each habitat  Snags%  phytes%	ľΩV	eget	ated	Ban (	ks	%	%				
SAMPLE COLLECTION	He In	ow v dica Cob	were  ite th	the s	samp ımbe		□ wadin n in each □ V	g hal	Other  Ditat  ated	from type	m baı e. ks	nk 🖵 from boa	at				
GENERAL COMMENTS  QUALITATIVE I																	
Dominant	l abı	ınd	ance	e: (			rved, 1				; = C	Common, 3= Abun					
Periphyton						1 2 3 4			mes						2		
Filamentous Algae						1 2 3 4						rates			2		
Macrophytes  FIELD OBSERVA  Indicate estimated	ATIO	ONS	S Ol	e:	ACI 0 =	Absent/Not Obse		1 =	Rar	e (1	l-3 o	rganisms), 2 = Cor , 4 = Dominant (>.	mmoi	n (3			·
Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4	1					

Culcidae

0 1 2 3 4 Tabinidae

Bivalvia

		1										
e of		ion	identification									
page		Date of Completion	mounting									
		Dž	sorting									
	NSHEEL	Lot Number										
	'LE LOG-1	Date Received	oy Lao									
	MACKOINVEKTEBKATE SAMPLE LOG-IN SHEET	Stream Name and Location										
	IIC MACK	Station	#									
	BENIHIC	Preservation										
		Number of	Comamers									
		Collected	ρλ									
		Date	Collected									

Serial Code Example: B0754001(1) B = Benthos (F = Fish; P = Periphyton)# 0754 = project number # 001 = sample number # (1) = lot number (e.g., winter 1996 = 1; summer 1996 = 2)

### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (FRONT)

page \_\_\_\_\_ of

		1 8
STREAM NAME		LOCATION
STATION #	RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS
LAT	LONG	RIVER BASIN
STORET#		AGENCY
COLLECTED BY	DATE	LOT#
TAXONOMIST	DATE	SUBSAMPLE TARGET □ 100 □ 200 □ 300 □ Other

Organisms	No.	LS	TI	TCR	d Species name on blank Organisms	No.	LS	TI	TCR
	No.	LS	11	ICK		No.	LS	11	ICK
Oligochaeta					Megaloptera				
Hirudinea					Coleoptera				
Isopoda									
Amphipoda					Diptera				
Decapoda									
Ephemeroptera									
					Gastropoda				
					Pelecypoda				
Plecoptera									
					Other				
Trichoptera									
Hemiptera									

Taxonomic certainty rating (TCR) 1-5:1=most certain, 5=least certain. If rating is 3-5, give reason (e.g., missing gills).	LS= life stage: I =
immature; $P = pupa$ ; $A = adult TI = Taxonomists initials$	

Total No. Organisms	Total No. Taxa	
- Otto - 100 O - 5		

### BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE LABORATORY BENCH SHEET (BACK)

SUBSAMPLING/SORTING INFORMATION  Sorter  Date	Number of grids picked:  Time expenditure  Indicate the presence of large or o	No. of organisms bviously abundant organisms:
	# organisms originally sorted # organisms originally sorted checker  ≥90%, sample passes  <90%, sample fails, action taken	tod by # organisms originally sorted # officiency # organisms # sorting officiency # organisms # organ
TAXONOMY  ID  Date	Explain TCR ratings of 3-5:  Other Comments (e.g. condition of QC:	f specimens):  QC Checker
	Organism recognition Verification complete	□ pass □ fail □ YES □ NO

# PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT SCORE SHEET (PASS)

				page or
STREAM NAME			LOCATION	
STATION #		RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS	
LAT		LONG	RIVER BASIN	
STORET#			AGENCY	
COLLECTED BY		DATE	LOT #	NUMBER OF SWEEPS
HABITATS:	□ COBBLE	☐ SHOREZONE	□ SNAGS □ VEGETATI	ON

Enter Family and/or Genus and Species name on blank line. No. LS ΤI TCR ΤI TCR **Organisms Organisms** No. LS Oligochaeta Megaloptera Hirudinea Coleoptera Isopoda Diptera Amphipoda Decapoda Ephemeroptera Gastropoda Pelecypoda Plecoptera Other Trichoptera Taxonomic certainty rating (TCR) 1-5:1=most certain, 5=least certain. If rating is 3-5, give reason (e.g., missing gills). LS= life stage: I = immature; P = pupa; A = adult TI = Taxonomists initials Hemiptera

	Site Value	Target Threshold	If 2 or more metrics are > target threshold, site is
Total No. Taxa			HEALTHY
EPT Taxa			If less than 2 metrics are within target range, site is
<b>Tolerance Index</b>			SUSPECTED IMPAIRED

# **APPENDIX A-4:**

# Fish Field and Laboratory Data Sheets

Form 1: Fish Sampling Field Data Sheet Form 2: Fish Sample Log-In Sheet

### FISH SAMPLING FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

										paş	ge		of _	
STREAM NAME			LOC	ATION			_							
STATION #	_ RIVERMILE		STRI	EAM CLA	SS									
LAT	LONG		RIVE	ER BASIN										
STORET#			AGE	NCY										
GEAR			INVE	ESTIGATO	ORS									
FORM COMPLETED	BY			E			EASON F	FOR SUF	RVEY					
SAMPLE COLLECTION	How were the	_		_	☐ tot	e barge			<b>□</b> of	her _				
	Block nets us	ed? □Y	YES	□NO										
	Sampling Du	ration Sta	rt time _		_ End t	ation _								
	Stream width	(in meters)	) Ma	ıx	Mear									
HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the p □ Riffles □ Submerged	dicate the percentage of each habitat type present  Riffles% □ Pools% □ Runs% □ Snags%  Submerged Macrophytes% □ Other ( )%												
GENERAL COMMENTS														
SPECIES	TOTAL	OPTION	AL: LEN	NGTH (m)	m)/WEIG	HT (g)			A	NOM	ALIE	s*		
2	(COUNT)			EN MAX S										7
							D	Е	F	L	M	S	Т	Z
							-							
							-							
							-							
	Т								Г					
							-							
							-							

### FISH SAMPLING FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

SPECIES	TOTAL (COUNT)	OPTION (25.5)	NAL: LEI	NGTH (m EN MAX S	m)/WEIG			A	NOM	ALIE	s*			
	(COCIVI)	(23)	or Echvii	J1	O D D T T T T	LE)	D	E	F	L	M	S	T	Z
		<u> </u>					-							
							1							
							4							
		<u> </u>					-							
							-							
	Τ													
							1							
							1							
							4							
		<u> </u>					1							
							-							
	T													
							-							
				<del>                                     </del>										
		$\vdash$		<del>                                     </del>			1							
							-							
							-							
*			I											

<sup>\*</sup> ANOMALY CODES: D = deformities; E = eroded fins; F = fungus; L = lesions; M = multiple DELT anomalies; S = emaciated; Z = other

eof		ис	identification									
page		Date of Completion	mounting									
		Da	sorting									
		Lot Number										
	ET	Date Received	ру Lab									
	FISH SAMPLE LOG-IN SHEET	ocation										
	MPLELO	Stream Name and Location										
	FISH SA	эдS										
		Station #										
		Preservation										
		Number of	Containers									
		Collected By										
		Date	Collected									

Serial Code Example: F0754001(1) F = Frish (B = Benthos; P = Periphyton)# 0754 = project number # 001 = sample number # (1) = lot number (e.g., winter 1996 = 1; summer 1996 = 2)